Universität des Saarlandes FR 6.2 - Informatik Prof. Dr. W.J. Paul Dipl.-Ing. Christoph Baumann

Exercise Sheet 5 Computer Architecture II (Due: Nov 29th, 2011)



Exercise 1: (Fast \lor -Tree)

(3+3+3 Points)

(5+5 Points)

For the Implementation of the sticky bit computation we need an \lor -tree which is a balanced binary tree of OR gates. The exact delay of the circuit can be reduced by using the inverted gates NOR $(\overline{\lor})$ and NAND $(\overline{\land})$. Their semantics is given in the tables below.

x_1	x_2	$x_1 \overline{\lor} x_2$	x_1	x_2	$x_1 \overline{\wedge} x_2$
0	0	1	0	0	1
0	1	0	0	1	1
1	0	0	1	0	1
1	1	0	1	1	0

Obviously the following properties hold:

$$x_1 \overline{\vee} x_2 = \overline{x_1 \vee x_2} \qquad \qquad x_1 \overline{\wedge} x_2 = \overline{x_1 \wedge x_2}$$

The delay of NAND and NOR gates is considered to be 1 in our delay model, compared to 2 for regular AND and OR gates. The cost for each of these gates is 2.

a) Construct an \vee -tree with inputs $a \in \mathbb{B}^n$ for $n = 2^k$, output $b \in \mathbb{B}$ and the specification:

$$b = \bigvee_{i=0}^{n-1} a[i]$$

The circuit should have the following delay: $D(n) = \begin{cases} \log n & : k \text{ even} \\ \log n + 1 & : k \text{ odd} \end{cases}$

- b) Prove the correctness of your implementation!
- c) Show that your construction has the correct delay!

Note: We are using the following notation for a disjunction of $n \in \mathbb{N}$ boolean terms x_i :

$$\bigvee_{i=0}^{n-1} x_i \equiv x_{n-1} \lor \ldots \lor x_0$$

Exercise 2: (Logical Right Shifter for Arbitrary Numbers)

In the unpacker we need a logical right shifter for numbers which are not a power of two. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ be such a number, i.e. $\forall k. n \neq 2^k$ and $m = \lceil \log n \rceil$. Construct a circuit with inputs $x \in \mathbb{B}^n$, $b \in \mathbb{B}^m$ such that $0 \leq \langle b[m-1:0] \rangle \leq n$ and outputs $y \in \mathbb{B}^n$ specified by the following formula!

$$y[n-1:0] = 0^{\langle b \rangle} x[n-1:\langle b \rangle]$$

Prove the correctness of your implementation!



Figure 1: The FDSELECT circuit selecting the correct significand for the quotient in the Multiply/Divide unit (taken from *Computer Architecture*, S. M. Müller, W. J. Paul, Springer 2000)

Exercise 3: (Table Size vs. Number of Iterations)

(6 Points)

(5 Points)

In the lecture we designed the multiply/divide unit from the book which performs a division based on the Newton-Raphson method. The iteration starts out with an initial approximation x_0 which is obtained from a $2^{\gamma} \times \gamma$ lookup table. The intermediate results are truncated after $\sigma = 57$ bits. The number *i* of iterations necessary to reach p + 2 bits of precision (i.e. $\delta_i < 2^{-(p+2)}$) is then bounded by

$$i = \begin{cases} 1 & : \quad (p = 24) \land (\gamma = 16) \\ 2 & : \quad (p = 24) \land (\gamma = 8) \lor (p = 53) \land (\gamma = 16) \\ 3 & : \quad (p = 24) \land (\gamma = 5) \lor (p = 53) \land (\gamma = 8) \end{cases}$$

Prove that the number of iterations suffices to achieve the desired precision!

Exercise 4: (Optimized FDselect Circuit)

Figure 1 shows the FDSELECT circuit of the Multiply/Divide Unit in our FPU. In the lecture we discovered that $E = \lfloor f_a \cdot x \rfloor_{p+1}$ can actually be represented with p+2 bits. This influences the length of E_b , too. Additionally D_a and D_b just store pipelined copies of the unpacked and normalized significands f_a and f_b . Consequently FDSELECT contains superfluous data lines and gates. Give a short summary which circuitry can be omitted and construct a corresponding optimized version of FDSELECT!